

The 21st century societal self-correction will be all the more rapid as the plutocratic anomaly contributes to its own end.

Such a self-correction had already begun in the West, because since the Renaissance, a trend to their political emancipation had already developed among many officials, notables, and clerks, who difficultly felt being restrained while they became aware of their usefulness for the supervision of popular masses. After having served the reigning nobility, these intermediary officials wanted to better assert their categorial weight in front of the new plutocratic dominant class (merchant, industrial, and especially financial) who locked his power in Europe and in the USA since the 18th century, and who could only impose his needs and rules through them, notably because this plutocracy derived its illegitimate power from its growing private enrichment acquired at the detriment of public wealth.

To be and remain useful, these intermediaries had to appear legitimate in the victims's eyes. That is why, from the beginning of the 19th century, a bourgeois socialism as elitist as falsely popular (universalist and moralist, but colonizing and repressive) seemed to them to be an effective means of justifying their public action, capable of facilitating and skillfully protecting in addition the private economic predation, always more profitable for the richest, who financed and rewarded in return the activity of the most efficient officials, notable, and clerks. For several generations, all of them had found their interest there.

However, during the 20th century, more and more of these intermediary officials have developed an aberrant behavior, badly coordinated with plutocratic needs, and leading to excessively mistreating and destructuring the administered populations. Which highlighted all the more their lack of ability to represent the popular will, then their lack of competence and probity, and hence their illegitimacy. The result has been an increasingly disrupted, then unmanageable, societal situation, detrimental to plutocratic interests since the entire ruling class has logically become challenged as illegitimate, immoral, parasitic, and harmful.

Worse yet for them, the plutocratic reaction was surprisingly faulty. At the beginning of the 21st century, instead of being cohesive and coordinated, it was divided into divergent currents, even opposed, notably between its globalist and traditionalist tendencies, and between Americans and Europeans, obstructing the entire plutocratic phenomenon, and accelerating (finally!) its end.

GOOD TO KNOW ALSO :

A VERY EFFECTIVE INSTRUMENT FOR ERADICATING THE PLOUTO-IMPERIALIST PHENOMENON IS

a firm and priority political objective of establishing a **legal cap on assets and income** (LCAI), according to an official formulation that can be inspired by the model below. This formulation is based on the clause 10-2 of the Fundamental Charter of the Protective Societal Principles (prevent and punish any appropriation, deception, abuse, perversion of public authority and its means, as well as any excessive, abusive, and/or illegal private disposition of wealth).

*" The **legal cap on assets and income** is a public reference that determines the upper limits of socially acceptable personal wealth, taking into account the updated value of assets and income, these limits remaining permanently adjustable by the legitimate public authority to promote and protect the balance and cohesion of the implicated societal whole.*

The amount of personal assets and periodic income exceeding these limits is automatically collected by the public authority. Any person, whether or not a member of the said societal whole, who by any means whatsoever acts against these provisions and/or prevents their execution, in whole or in part, is automatically penalized in court with the severest penalties and damages."

As soon as it is instituted and applied, this **legal cap on assets and income** directly and radically eliminates the means and the purpose of the plutocratic phenomenon. If necessary, in the event of abusive resistance by plutocrats, sY groups can also intervene to support this fundamental societal regulation. And to avoid the villainous instrumentalization of unregulated countries, a PLAR framework must be established as globally as possible (e.g. up to the level of a world federation) with adjustment justified by country, and a penalization of harmful structures and countries. An essential means, the 100% tax on the excess of large fortunes, does not have as much protective solidity and ethical exemplarity as the LCAI.